

IN THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method for sharing execution capacity among tasks executing in a real-time computing system having a performance specification in accordance with Rate Monotonic Analysis (RMA), comprising the steps of:
 - pairing a higher priority task with a lower priority task;
 - reallocating by borrowing execution time from the lower priority task and reallocating it to the higher priority task during an overload condition;
 - increasing the period of the lower priority task to compensate for said reallocated execution time; and
 - limiting an amount of execution time, N_r , to borrow from said lower priority task, $task_r$, to a maximum loan amount where $N_r \ll C_r$, where
 - C_r = worst-case task execution time of $task_r$, and
 - N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from $task_r$.

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23656-07-19-04.doc

2. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein an amount of said execution time available to loan from said lower priority task (hereinafter "task_r") to said higher priority task (hereinafter "task_u") is obtained as follows:

$$N_u = \frac{N_r \cdot T_u}{T_r}$$

where,

N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from task_r, where

$N_r < C_r$,

T_r = period of task_r,

C_r = worst-case task execution time of task_r, and

T_u = period of task_u.

3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said increased period of the lower priority task, task_r, is obtained as follows:

$$T_n = \frac{C_r \cdot T_r}{C_r - N_r}$$

where

C_r = worst-case task execution time of task_r,

T_r = period of task_r, and

N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from task_r, where

$N_r < C_r$.

4. (Cancelled)

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23556-07-19-04.doc

5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1[[4]], wherein a maximum execution time, Nm , that may be borrowed from said lower priority task, $task_r$, is obtained as follows:

$$Nm = Cr \left(1 - \frac{1}{m} \right)$$

where m is the multiple of the period of said lower priority task, $task_r$.

6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said higher priority task has hard deadlines.

7. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said lower priority task has soft deadlines.

8. (Currently Amended) A method for allocating resources among tasks executing in a real-time computing system having a performance specification in accordance with Rate Monotonic Analysis (RMA), comprising the steps of:

pairing a higher priority task with a lower priority task;

providing a first resource allocation to said lower priority task during a normal operating condition;

reallocating by borrowing a portion of said first resource allocation from said lower priority task and reallocating

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23656-07-19-04.doc

to said higher priority task when said higher priority task is operable; and

limiting an amount of execution time, N_r , to reallocate from said lower priority task, task_r , to a maximum loan amount where $N_r \leq C_r$, where

C_r = worst-case task execution time of task_r , and

N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from task_r .

9. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 8, wherein said reallocated portion of said first resource allocation is obtained as follows:

$$N_u = \frac{N_r \cdot T_u}{T_r}$$

where,

N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from task_r , where

$N_r \leq C_r$,

T_r = period of the lower priority task (" task_r "),

C_r = worst-case task execution time of task_r , and

T_u = period of the higher priority task (" task_u ").

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23656-07-19-04.doc

10. (Original) The method of claim 8, further comprising the step of increasing a period of said lower priority task, $task_r$, as follows:

$$Tn = \frac{Cr \cdot Tr}{Cr - Nr}$$

where

C_r = worst-case task execution time of $task_r$,

T_r = period of $task_r$, and

N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from $task_r$, where

$N_r < C_r$.

11. (Cancelled)

12. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 8, wherein a maximum execution time, N_m , that may be borrowed from said lower priority task, $task_r$, is obtained as follows:

$$N_m = Cr \left(1 - \frac{1}{m} \right)$$

where m is the multiple of the period of said lower priority task, $task_r$.

13. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein said higher priority task has hard deadlines.

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23656-07-19-04.doc

14. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein said lower priority task has soft deadlines.

15. (Currently Amended) A method for sharing execution capacity among tasks executing in a real-time computing system having a performance specification in accordance with Rate Monotonic Analysis (RMA), comprising the steps of:

pairing a higher priority task, $task_h$, with a lower priority task, $task_r$;

reallocating by borrowing execution time from the lower priority task and reallocating to the higher priority task during an overload condition;

increasing the utilization of said higher priority task;
and

decreasing the utilization of said lower priority task in a proportional manner to maintain a constant utilization, U ;
and

limiting an amount of execution time, N_r , to borrow from said lower priority task, $task_r$, to a maximum loan amount where $N_r \ll C_r$,
where

C_r = worst-case task execution time of $task_r$, and

N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from $task_r$.

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23656-07-19-04.doc

16. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein said utilizations of said tasks are varied as follows:

$$\frac{C_u}{T_u} + \frac{C_r}{T_r} = U$$

where,

C_u = worst-case task execution time of task_u,

T_u = period of task_u,

C_r = worst-case task execution time of task_r,

T_r = period of task_r, and

U = utilization for both tasks.

17. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 15, wherein an amount of said execution time available to reallocate from said lower priority task (hereinafter "task_r") to said higher priority task (hereinafter "task_u") is obtained as follows:

$$N_u = \frac{N_r T_u}{T_r}$$

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23656-07-19-04.doc

where,

N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from task_r, where

$N_r < C_r$,

T_r = period of task_r,

C_r = worst-case task execution time of task_r, and

T_v = period of task_v.

18. (Original) The method of claim 15, further comprising the step of increasing a period of the lower priority task, task_r, as follows:

$$T_n = \frac{C_r \cdot T_r}{C_r - N_r}$$

where

C_r = worst-case task execution time of task_r,

T_r = period of task_r, and

N_r = amount of execution time to borrow from task_r, where

$N_r < C_r$.

19. (Cancelled)

20. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 15, wherein a maximum execution time, N_m , that may be borrowed from said lower priority task, task_r, is obtained as follows:

$$N_m = C_r \left(1 - \frac{1}{m} \right)$$

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23656-07-19-04.doc

where m is the multiple of the period of said lower priority task, task_r.

21. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein said higher priority task has hard deadlines.

22. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein said lower priority task has soft deadlines.

23. (Cancelled)

24. (Cancelled)

25. (Cancelled)

N:\UserPublic\GA\Amendments\2004\A23656-07-19-04.doc